

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,303	02/28/2002	David McMorrow	S63.2-9719	2109	
490 75	590 10/18/2006	EXAMINER			
VIDAS, ARR	ETT & STEINKRAU	S, P.A.	THALER, MICHAEL H		
6109 BLUE CII SUITE 2000	RCLE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETONK	A, MN 55343-9185	3731			
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/19/2000		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

8	8
$\neg u$	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/087,303	MCMORROW ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Michael Thaler	3731

Defense the Elling of an Angeral Duief	70,00,,000					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Michael Thaler	3731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 04 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is late. 						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHI						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>		•				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims				
NOTE: See the attached form PTOL-324. (See 3		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		F 1 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		II be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-25, 29, 30, 39 and 40</u> .	1_38					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-20,27,28 and 31-38</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	O				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
- · · · 		Mas tr				
	·	Michael Thaler				
		Primary Examiner				
·		Art Unit: 3731				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: portions 20a" and 20b" may be considered a single structrure since portion 20a"overlaps and contacts portion 20b". Clearly, a draw bridge may be considered a single structure. This is the case even though it includes two portions which conact each other near the middle of the bridge.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/087,303	MCMORROW ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael Thaler	3731	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>04 October 2006</u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the equirements of 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following tem(s) is required.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: The text of canceled claims 28 and 38 should not be listed.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signed in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
for further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
IME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.
Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checked, the correction required is only the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121.
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action.
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or
Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment. Mu June
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable Telephone No.